Accurate Distortion Measurement Using Analytical Model for the B-Spline-Based Shape Coding^{*}

Zhongyuan Lai, Zhen Zuo, Zhe Wang, and Wenyu Liu, *Member, IEEE* Dept. of Electron. and Inf. Eng., Huazhong Univ. of Sci. and Technol. Wuhan, China Email: {laizhy, zzhen1990, twolucky.wang}@gmail.com, liuwy@hust.edu.cn

Existing distortion measurements for the B-spline-based shape coding include approximation, quantization, or parameterization process, so they are approximate techniques. They may inaccurately predict the actual distortion value, which motivates us to construct a model that can accurately measure the actual distortion. It was reported that the actual distortion for reconstruction quality assessment is the minimal Euclidean distance between each associated contour point and the reconstruction contour. Therefore, we generally define our accurate distortion measurement using analytical model (AD-MAM) of the associated contour point **c** from the approximating B-spline Q_{BS} as

$$ADMAM = \min_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{BS}} \left\| \mathbf{c} \mathbf{q} \right\|_{2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{q}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{q}\in Q_{ns}} \|\mathbf{cq}\|_2$ is called the *corresponding point* and $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the L₂-norm.

For simplification, we compute this model from planar geometric aspect. Note that these geometric relations depend on three relative positions between **c** and Q_{BS} : 1) **c** is on the open Q_{BS} so **q**^{*} coincides with **c**, 2) **c** is off Q_{BS} and **q**^{*} is on the open Q_{BS} , and 3) **c** is off Q_{BS} and **q**^{*} is at the end of Q_{BS} . Among them, case 2) is the most general one. Our investigation starts with case 2) and we expect that case 1) and 3) can be integrated into the formula derived from case 2). The key observation is that for case 2), $\mathbf{cq}^* \perp L(\mathbf{q}^*)$, where $L(\mathbf{q}^*)$ is the tangent vector of Q_{BS} at \mathbf{q}^* and \perp is the perpendicularity. Thereby, the first two cases can be unified as

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{q}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{L}(\mathbf{q}^*) = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where \cdot denotes the dot product, and for case 3), the boundary conditions can be added to the candidate parameter solution space to (2) to find the final ADMAM.

To sum up, the proposed ADMAM has the following four properties:

- it is in line with the subjective-based objective peak distortion assessment, so it is consistent and reliable,
- it totally avoids approximation, quantization or parameterization process on the original B-spline, therefore it is accurate and effective,
- when the B-spline-based operational rate-distortion optimal shape coding framework under the minimum-maximum criterion is exploited, it can guarantee the admissible distortion with the smallest bit-rate, and
- if the number of contour points is N_C , then it takes only $O(N_C)$ time for segment distortion calculation, which is the lowest complexity among the existing distortion measurements.

^{*}The authors would like to thank Ferdous Ahmed Sohel for providing many useful research materials. The authors would also like to thank Junhuan Zhu and Zhou Ren for fruitful discussion. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 60873127 and 60903172 and the Education Ministry Doctoral Research Foundation of China under Grant 20070487028. The corresponding author is Wenyu Liu.